[Mr. President.]

There is another motion which stands in the name of Mr. D Gnanasigamony. It reads :—

> "I beg leave to move that the business of the House be adjourned for the purpose of discussing a definite matter or urgent pnblic importance, *viz.* the resentment and widespread dissatisfaction in the minds of the public and particularly in the minds of the Tamilian minority at the manner in which a needless and wasteful bifurcation of the Medical department was effected to displace the rightful claimant Dr. (Miss) Samuel from the post of Surgeon General and appointing in her stead Dr. Thaliath and the corruption, favouritism, nepotism and linguistic bias evidenced by such action of Government."

The language used is too strong. It is desirable to use more moderate language. The matter refers to ordinary administrative act. An ordinary parliamentary opportunity will occur shortly or in time i. e. during the budget session. Therefore this is not an urgent matter to justify an adjournment motion. I disallow the motion.

There is yet another motion. It is in the name of Mr. Nesamony and reads as follows:

> "This is to give you notice that I intend to move that the business of the House be adjourned for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance namely the discontent and dissatisfaction in the State regarding the proposed enhancement of the basic tax."

I would like to know whether the enhancement is a fact. What does the Hon'ble Minister say about it?

HON'BLE MR. V. O. MARKOS: There is no proposal on the part of Government to enhance the basic tax.

MR. PRESIDENT: A motion based on rumour cannot be allowed and I rule accordingly.

Mr. P. T. Chacko has given notice of an adjournment motion in these terms.

> "I move that the business of this House be adjourned to discuss a definite matter of urgent public importance namely the situation created by the dismissal of certain employees of A. V, George and Co. Kottayam, alleging that they are promoting discontent and disaffection against the management."

I do not consider this motion to be in order for this is a matter for which the Government are not directly responsible. So I rule it out.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly